

Dharma Advisory Councils: Role and Recruitment

A. Chawla

REAL Institute and IIT Delhi

January 12, 2025

Abstract

This document proposes the conceptualization, justification, and operational framework for the establishment of *Dharma Advisory Councils* within the Indian governance system. Drawing from historical models of precolonial governance, the philosophy of dharma, and contemporary administrative structures, the paper outlines a mechanism for integrating ethical-pluralist guidance into the Prime Minister’s Office (PMO) and Cabinet policy-making processes. It details the need for such councils, the sources of potential members, and methods for sustainable recruitment and participation, while preserving the independence and spiritual orientation of traditional institutions.

1 Background

We reap what we sow. We are the makers of our own fate. None else has the blame, none has the praise. – Swami Vivekananda in CW 2:224.

The precolonial Indian state exhibited a distinct pattern of governance that emphasized fluidity, plurality, and pragmatic consultation. Unlike the European nation-state model, which relied on codified sovereignty and legalistic centralization, precolonial political structures were designed to accommodate diversity in culture, religion, and social norms. As Ashis Nandy (1989) notes, these traditional models allowed local autonomy to flourish and relied on the ruler as a protector rather than an absolute arbiter, with legitimacy grounded in moral and ethical principles.

Kings and rulers were expected to uphold *dharma*—a normative framework that encompassed justice, moral governance, and social responsibility—rather than merely exercising power through coercion or force. As Basham observes, advisory councils composed of ministers, priests, and assemblies were central to governance, reflecting a consultative tradition that balanced the prerogatives of the ruler with pluralist considerations. The

king's authority was therefore both moral and practical, enabling flexibility in governance and responsiveness to local conditions.

Post-independence, the Indian state adopted a European-style nation-state model, emphasizing centralized authority, uniform legal frameworks, and a homogenized conception of national identity. While this approach facilitated administrative coherence, it often marginalized the pluralistic, consultative, and morally guided dimensions of governance inherent in precolonial traditions. The state became the central arbiter of culture, identity, and policy, sidelining mechanisms that historically accommodated diversity and local self-determination.

2 The Need for Dharma Advisory Councils

The concentration of authority within centralized institutions presents several challenges in contemporary governance. Policies affecting diverse communities may inadvertently undermine social cohesion, cultural practices, or moral justice. In the absence of structured ethical-pluralist oversight, the state risks implementing interventions that are legally correct but socially or morally contentious.

A *Dharma Advisory Council* aims to address these challenges by reintroducing consultative, ethically grounded guidance into the highest echelons of decision-making. The council would:

1. Serve as an advisory body to the PMO and Cabinet on matters of justice, pluralism, cultural sensitivity, and moral governance.
2. Bridge theoretical insights from academia with lived wisdom from traditional institutions, ensuring that policy is both analytically rigorous and socially legitimate.
3. Reinforce accountability and legitimacy by providing a transparent consultative mechanism that reflects diverse ethical and cultural perspectives.
4. Complement existing legal and administrative advisory bodies, adding a moral-ethical dimension to policy deliberation.

In effect, Dharma Advisory Councils seek to recover aspects of the precolonial consultative model while operating within the structures of a modern constitutional democracy. By integrating ethical guidance into policy-making, the councils aim to enhance the legitimacy, efficacy, and social resonance of governance initiatives.

3 Proposed Solution

3.1 Conceptual Framework

A Dharma Advisory Council would function as a non-executive, pluralist advisory body embedded within the PMO and Cabinet processes. Its core principles include:

- **Ethical Guidance:** Advice grounded in dharmic principles, emphasizing justice, fairness, and social responsibility.
- **Pluralism:** Representation from diverse philosophical, cultural, and regional traditions, ensuring policies accommodate multiplicity.
- **Consultative Function:** The council advises but does not implement, preserving administrative efficiency and political neutrality.
- **Integration with Existing Structures:** Complementing legal, economic, and technical advisory mechanisms without redundancy.

3.2 Operational Role

The council would:

1. Review policy proposals prepared by Cabinet ministers, PMO staff, or parliamentary committees for ethical and pluralist implications.
2. Provide recommendations on resolving conflicts arising from cultural, social, or moral considerations.
3. Offer frameworks for public engagement, ensuring that local customs, traditions, and community norms are respected in policy implementation.
4. Participate in periodic strategy sessions to advise on long-term policy planning, particularly for initiatives affecting diverse populations.

4 Recruitment of Council Members

The efficacy of Dharma Advisory Councils depends on the quality, diversity, and credibility of its members. Recruitment should be structured to draw expertise from both academic programs and traditional institutions, ensuring a balance of analytical rigor and lived ethical wisdom.

4.1 University-Based Recruitment

Universities and research institutes are a natural source of scholars trained in:

- Philosophy and moral reasoning
- Political theory and governance ethics
- Social justice, pluralism, and inter-community relations
- Comparative dharma and cultural studies

Selection Mechanism:

1. Candidates with advanced degrees (MPhil or PhD) in relevant disciplines are eligible.
2. Fellowship programs can provide practical policy exposure, including mentorship with PMO staff and policy simulations.
3. Certificate programs for civil servants and administrators can create a shared knowledge base, facilitating effective collaboration.
4. Members serve fixed terms (2–3 years) to ensure rotation, diversity, and infusion of fresh perspectives.

4.2 Matha-Based Recruitment

Traditional monastic institutions, or mathas, represent another critical source of expertise:

- Matha scholars have extensive knowledge of dharmashastra, ritual, ethics, and community mediation.
- They bring practical wisdom in resolving local disputes and maintaining social cohesion, reflecting the consultative governance models of precolonial India.

Addressing Nivṛtti-Pravṛtti Tensions:

Matha scholars traditionally follow a nivṛtti mārga (path of renunciation), which may make participation in state affairs (pravṛtti mārga) challenging. To encourage engagement without compromising spiritual integrity:

1. Council roles are non-executive and advisory; scholars provide guidance rather than implement policies.

2. Flexible participation is allowed: rotational, part-time, or remote consultation options.
3. Recognition and moral authority are emphasized over material incentives.
4. Council activities respect spiritual schedules and practices, integrating ceremonial or contemplative breaks where necessary.

4.3 Balancing Academic and Traditional Expertise

By combining university-trained scholars with matha-trained experts:

- Analytical and research-based insights complement traditional ethical and cultural wisdom.
- Pluralism is embedded in both recruitment and advisory processes.
- Policies benefit from both theoretical rigor and practical legitimacy in diverse social contexts.

5 Operationalization Methods

5.1 Organizational Structure

A proposed structure for integration into governance:

- **Prime Minister (PM):** Receives guidance and recommendations from the council; coordinates integration with Cabinet decisions.
- **Cabinet Ministers:** Submit policy proposals for council review; consider ethical-pluralist recommendations alongside legal and administrative advice.
- **PMO Core Staff:** Coordinates documentation, ensures timely communication, and integrates council input with technical and administrative evaluation.
- **Dharma Advisory Council:** Provides consultative review, ethical analysis, and guidance on pluralist considerations.

The council operates on a non-hierarchical, advisory model, ensuring independence and integrity.

5.2 Council Procedures

1. **Policy Submission:** Cabinet or PMO forwards policy proposals with context and potential social implications.
2. **Council Review:** Members analyze proposals for ethical, cultural, and justice-oriented impacts.
3. **Recommendations:** Written recommendations or consultative memos are returned to PMO and Cabinet.
4. **Integration:** PMO integrates ethical advice into policy decisions, balancing legal, administrative, and technical considerations.
5. **Feedback Loop:** Periodic review of implemented policies ensures that ethical-pluralist guidance has been applied effectively; community feedback is incorporated.

5.3 Recruitment and Training Pipeline

1. **University Fellowship Programs:** Produce trained scholars ready to advise on policy; integrate simulations and fieldwork.
2. **Matha Fellowship or Rotational Program:** Enable scholars from traditional institutions to participate in limited, advisory capacities.
3. **Continuous Professional Development:** Workshops, seminars, and short courses to keep council members updated on emerging governance challenges.
4. **Rotational Membership:** Ensures diversity, prevents stagnation, and maintains pluralist representation.

5.4 Incentives and Support for Participation

- Recognition and honorific titles reinforce the moral and social legitimacy of participation.
- Flexible schedules and non-intrusive roles reduce the conflict with spiritual or academic obligations.
- Structured council environment ensures political neutrality, minimizing exposure to partisanship.

6 Expected Outcomes

Implementation of Dharma Advisory Councils is expected to yield:

1. Enhanced ethical guidance in policy-making, leading to just and socially legitimate policies.
2. Restoration of consultative plurality, bridging precolonial governance models with modern constitutional democracy.
3. Stronger community trust and engagement, as policies reflect cultural and ethical sensitivities.
4. Institutionalized connection between academia, traditional institutions, and governance.

7 Conclusion

Dharma Advisory Councils offer a structured and principled mechanism to integrate ethical-pluralist guidance into modern governance. By drawing on both university-trained scholars and traditional matha experts, the councils provide a sustainable, credible, and effective advisory system. With careful operationalization—including rotational membership, flexible participation, and institutional support—these councils can reconcile the wisdom of precolonial consultative governance with the requirements of a modern nation-state. Ultimately, Dharma Advisory Councils have the potential to enhance justice, pluralism, and legitimacy in Indian policy-making, fostering governance that is ethically grounded, socially responsive, and culturally sensitive.

References

- [1] A. L. Basham. *The Wonder That Was India*. London: Sidgwick & Jackson, 1954.
- [2] Guy, R. *Ethics in Governance: Lessons from Indian Tradition*. Journal of Indian Philosophy, 1985.
- [3] A. Nandy, “The Political Culture of the Indian State,” *Daedalus*, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 1–26, Fall 1989.